

Math 231, Wed 10-Feb-2021 -- Wed 10-Feb-2021
Differential Equations and Linear Algebra
Spring 2020

Wednesday, February 10th 2021

Topic:: Matrix inverses

Topic:: Column space

HW:: HC01 due Feb. 15

Last time

- we defined matrix multiplication
- saw that matrix multiplication is not commutative
 - may make sense as AB , but not as BA
 - for both $A_{\{m \times n\}}$ $B_{\{q \times p\}}$ and BA to make sense, need $n=q$ and $m=p$
 - even then AB is $m \times m$ while BA is $n \times n$, so equality is possible only if $m=n$
 - \implies A, B square, same dimension is necessary, but not sufficient for $AB=BA$

Building on this

- the identity matrices
 - square
 - acts role of multiplicative identity
- the problem $Ax = b$
 - $ax = b$ from earliest algebra class provides inspiration $x = a^{-1} b$
 - define inverse of square matrix A :
 - another square matrix C
 - $AC = CA = I$
 - solution of $Ax = b$, when A^{-1} exists, is $x = A^{-1} b$
- method for finding A^{-1}
 - argue that $[A \mid I]$ row-reduced turns to $[I \mid A^{-1}]$
 - presumes (and only works if)
 - A is square
 - every column of A is a pivot column
 - If goal is to solve $Ax = b$, is finding A^{-1} as intermediate step worth it?
 - Do by hand for 2-by-2 $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$

One new term:

- so far

Is b in the span of set of vectors

Are there weights such that a linear combination of vectors produces b ?

Does a system of m equations in n unknowns have a solution?

Is $Ax = b$ consistent?

- new

Is b in the column space of A

- Determine whether any/all are true by doing GE on augmented $[A \mid b]$

Can use GE to describe the column space of $A_{\{m \times n\}}$

- if $\text{RREF}(A)$ has a pivot in every row, then $\text{col}(A) = \mathbb{R}^m$

- when $\text{RREF}(A)$ has a row of zeros at bottom, the story is more interesting

example: $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 5 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$

Notes:

- $\mathbf{0}$ as a vector

- $A(u+v) = Au + Av$, and $A(cu) = c(Au)$

- meaning of "A is nonsingular (invertible)"

Since $AB = BA = I$, if B has columns B_i , then

Cols: $AB_i = e_i$, so solve for B_i via GE on $[A \mid e_i]$

\implies get all cols of B simultaneously by applying GE to $[A \mid I]$

Inverse exists $\iff A$ is square with no free cols

$\iff \text{rref}(A) = I$

- more examples: inverse (when it exists) of

$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ (cannot, since non-square)

$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ (answer: $\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 5/4 & -3/4 \\ 1 & -3/4 & 1/4 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$)

$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ (answer: singular matrix)

Use middle result above to solve the system of equations

$$2x + 2y + z = 3$$

$$3x + y + 2z = -8$$

$$x - y + 2z = 4$$

Because last matrix A was singular, funny behavior can happen:

That $A \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 7 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} = A \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ -2 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix}$ (no left-cancellation)

Last time: $A \cdot B$
 $m \times n$ $p \times q$

" AB makes sense" requires $q = n \Rightarrow AB$ is $m \times p$ matrix

" BA makes sense" " $p = m \Rightarrow BA$ is $q \times n$ matrix

\Rightarrow If both make sense ($q = n, p = m$) then

AB is $m \times m$
 BA is $n \times n$ } square matrices

For $AB = BA$ further require $m = n$ (necessary, but not sufficient condition for $AB = BA$)

Identity matrices I_n

$$I_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$I_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

\dots I_n is $n \times n$
same form

Whenever $A I$ or $I A$ make sense, the product is A .
 \uparrow
identity matrix

H.S. algebra: basic problem $ax = b \Rightarrow x = a^{-1}b$

$$3x = 21 \Rightarrow x = 3^{-1} \cdot 21$$

Now we have vector problems of similar form $A \vec{x} = \vec{b}$ (solve for \vec{x})

If guided by H.S. algebra, $\vec{x} = A^{-1} \vec{b}$.

Inverses to matrices:

Have a matrix A

If it has an inverse, C , the properties required of C

$$AC = I = CA$$

Say A has inverse $C = A^{-1}$, then say A is invertible/nonsingular.

A necessary condition on matrix A : A must be square.
(again, this is not sufficient)

Ex.] $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is singular

$$\underbrace{AC}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{no such } C}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} ?$$

Method to find A^{-1} :

- Augment A by the identity I $[A | I]$
- Use EROs to bring this augmented matrix to RREF.
- If, in RREF, the part to the left of the augmentation bar looks like I , then the part to the right is A^{-1} .

Ex.] Say A is 2×2 . Follow this process for finding A^{-1} .

General 2×2 $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}.$

Augmented

Case: $a \neq 0$

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc|cc} a & b & 1 & 0 \\ c & d & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\frac{1}{a}r_1 \rightarrow r_1} \left[\begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & b/a & 1/a & 0 \\ c & d & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\xrightarrow{r_2 - cr_1 \rightarrow r_2} \left[\begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & b/a & 1/a & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{ad-bc}{a} & -\frac{c}{a} & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\xrightarrow{\frac{a}{ad-bc} r_2 \rightarrow r_2} \left[\begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & b/a & 1/a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{-c}{ad-bc} & \frac{a}{ad-bc} \end{array} \right] \quad \left(\text{Step requires } ad-bc \neq 0 \right)$$

$$\xrightarrow{r_1 - \frac{b}{a} r_2 \rightarrow r_1} \left[\begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & 0 & \frac{d}{ad-bc} & \frac{-b}{ad-bc} \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{-c}{ad-bc} & \frac{a}{ad-bc} \end{array} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{a} - \frac{b}{a} \cdot \frac{-c}{ad-bc} &= \frac{ad-bc}{a(ad-bc)} + \frac{bc}{a(ad-bc)} \\ &= \frac{ad}{a(ad-bc)} = \frac{d}{ad-bc} \end{aligned}$$

In the instance where $a \neq 0$, $ad-bc \neq 0$, get

$$A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{d}{ad-bc} & \frac{-b}{ad-bc} \\ \frac{-c}{ad-bc} & \frac{a}{ad-bc} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{ad-bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$$

Whole process for 2×2 matrix hinges on $ad-bc \neq 0$.

Result of our work:

1. $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$ has inverse $\frac{1}{15-2} \begin{bmatrix} 5 & -2 \\ -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$

2. $\begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 \\ -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ has no inverse (it's singular)

since $(4)(1) - (-2)(-2) = 0$.

Q: How would you find A^{-1} for

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 \\ -3 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} ?$$

Apply the process

$$\left[A \mid \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{RREF}} \left[R \mid C \right]$$

↑

Case: $R = I_3$ — A is nonsingular, and $A^{-1} = C$

Case: $R \neq I_3$ — A is singular (no inverse to A exists)

Q: Why does this process work?

$$A\vec{x} = \vec{b} \quad \text{solved by} \quad [A \mid \vec{b}] \xrightarrow{\text{EROs}} [R \mid \vec{\beta}]$$

Note: If we solve

$$A\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{via GE} \quad \left[A \mid \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{RREF}} \left[R \mid \begin{smallmatrix} \vec{\beta}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \vec{\beta}_n \end{smallmatrix} \right]$$

↑

$$\text{RREF}(A) = I$$

first col.
of A^{-1} .

$$A \cdot A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{\beta}_1 & \vec{\beta}_2 & \cdots & \vec{\beta}_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} A\vec{\beta}_1 & A\vec{\beta}_2 & \cdots & A\vec{\beta}_n \end{bmatrix}$$

↑
1st col.
of I

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

↑
2nd col.