

Problem:  $n$  dep. vars.  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , 1<sup>st</sup> order,  
w/ 1<sup>st</sup> - deriv. solved for  $\underline{\text{non-homogeneous}}$

Wednesday, March 17th 2021

Wk 7, We

Topic:: Existence and uniqueness wrapup

Read:: ODELA 3.2-3.4

HW:: HC03 due Mar. 23

most general  
1<sup>st</sup> - order  
system  
& linear  
DE's  
in  
vars.  
SOL.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_1' = a_{11}(t)x_1 + \dots + a_{1n}(t)x_n + f_1(t) \\ x_2' = a_{21}(t)x_1 + \dots + a_{2n}(t)x_n + f_2(t) \\ \vdots \\ x_n' = a_{n1}(t)x_1 + \dots + a_{nn}(t)x_n + f_n(t) \end{array} \right.$$

Problem  $\vec{x}' = \vec{A}\vec{x}$

- homogeneous, constant coefficient version of

$$\vec{x}' = \vec{A}(t)\vec{x} + \vec{f}(t)$$

- how it looks written out as a system of equations

- why eigenpairs of  $A$  are relevant

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1' \\ x_2' \\ \vdots \\ x_n' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}(t) & \dots & a_{1n}(t) \\ a_{21}(t) & \dots & a_{2n}(t) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{n1}(t) & \dots & a_{nn}(t) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} f_1(t) \\ f_2(t) \\ \vdots \\ f_n(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

Solve

$$1. \vec{x}' = [1 \ 1; 3 \ -1] \vec{x}, \quad \vec{x}(0) = [4; 0]$$

$$2. \vec{x}' = [2 \ 1; 1 \ 2] \vec{x}$$

$$3. \vec{x}' = [0 \ 2 \ 4; -5 \ -11 \ -20; 2 \ 4 \ 7] \vec{x}$$

Show direction fields

## First Order Linear, Homogeneous Systems with Constant Coefficients

The problems we are solving here are, for some positive integer  $n > 0$ , of the form

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{or, more simply} \quad \vec{x}' = \vec{A}\vec{x}. \quad (1)$$

We have seen that, for solutions of the form  $e^{\lambda t}\vec{v}$  to exist (where  $\vec{v}$  is a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ), it is necessary that  $(\lambda, \vec{v})$  be an eigenpair of  $\vec{A}$ . If we can find  $n$  linearly independent solutions of this form

$$e^{\lambda_1 t}\vec{v}_1, \quad e^{\lambda_2 t}\vec{v}_2, \quad \dots, \quad e^{\lambda_n t}\vec{v}_n,$$

then these solutions form a **fundamental set of solutions** to (1), giving us its general solution

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = c_1 e^{\lambda_1 t} \mathbf{v}_1 + c_2 e^{\lambda_2 t} \mathbf{v}_2 + \cdots + c_n e^{\lambda_n t} \mathbf{v}_n.$$

Here are some useful facts to know.

**Theorem 1:** Suppose  $\mathbf{A}$  is an  $n$ -by- $n$  matrix with entries that are real numbers.

1. If  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{A}$ , then  $\det(\mathbf{A}) = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_n$ , the product of eigenvalues. [This part of the theorem is, in fact, true even in the more general case where entries of  $\mathbf{A}$  are complex numbers.]
2. If  $\lambda = \alpha + i\beta$  (with  $\alpha, \beta$  both real and  $\beta \neq 0$ ) is an eigenvalue of  $\mathbf{A}$  with corresponding eigenvector  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} + i\mathbf{w}$  (where all the entries of  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{w}$  are real numbers), then the complex conjugate  $\bar{\lambda} = \alpha - i\beta$  is an eigenvalue of  $\mathbf{A}$  as well, with corresponding eigenvector  $\mathbf{u} - i\mathbf{w}$ .
3. If the eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are  $n$  distinct complex numbers, with corresponding eigenvectors  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ , then  $\{e^{\lambda_1 t} \mathbf{v}_1, e^{\lambda_2 t} \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, e^{\lambda_n t} \mathbf{v}_n\}$  is a fundamental set of solutions to  $\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$ .
4. If, for each eigenvalue of  $\mathbf{A}$ , the geometric multiplicity equals the algebraic multiplicity, then by choosing a basis of eigenvectors corresponding to each eigenvalue and amassing them into the collection  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ , one again obtains a fundamental set of solutions  $\{e^{\lambda_1 t} \mathbf{v}_1, e^{\lambda_2 t} \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, e^{\lambda_n t} \mathbf{v}_n\}$ . (Here,  $\lambda_j$  is the eigenvalue that goes with eigenvector  $\mathbf{v}_j$ .)
5. If  $\mathbf{A}$  is a **symmetric** matrix (that is,  $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$  for each  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ ), then the eigenvalues are all *real* numbers whose geometric multiplicities equal their algebraic multiplicities. Moreover, eigenvectors corresponding to *distinct* eigenvalues are orthogonal, and there exists an *orthogonal* basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  consisting of eigenvectors of  $\mathbf{A}$ .

Most of the matrices  $\mathbf{A}$  whose eigenpairs we have calculated have fallen into case 3 of this theorem, giving us, in theory, a fundamental set of solutions to  $\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$ . The one true exception was the matrix

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

for which one eigenvalue,  $(-1)$  had algebraic multiplicity two but geometric multiplicity one. It is in cases such as these that we must work hardest to obtain a fundamental set of solutions.

## Direction fields

For an *autonomous* 1<sup>st</sup> order (perhaps nonlinear) system  $\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{Ax}$  where  $\mathbf{A}$  is  $n$ -by- $n$ , with  $n = 2$  or  $n = 3$ , it is possible to draw a **direction field** in the appropriate **phase space** (called the **phase plane** when  $n = 2$ ). The idea in the  $n = 2$  linear case is that, at any point  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)$ , we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} dx_1/dt \\ dx_2/dt \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{dx_2}{dx_1} = \frac{dx_2/dt}{dx_1/dt} = \frac{a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2}{a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2}.$$

(The same idea works, with only slight modification, in the case of nonlinear autonomous 1<sup>st</sup> order systems.) One can place a hash mark with slope  $dx_2/dx_1$  at the point  $(x_1, x_2)$ . It is, of course, convenient to hand this task over to a software package. See the PPLANE applet at <http://math.rice.edu/%7edfield/dfpp.html>.

### Example 1:

Look at direction fields for

1. the nonlinear system

$$\begin{aligned} dx/dt &= 2x - y + 3(x^2 - y^2) + 2xy, \\ dy/dt &= x - 3y - 3(x^2 - y^2) + 3xy, \end{aligned} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{aligned} dx/dt &= 1 - x - \frac{4xy}{1 + x^2}, \\ dy/dt &= x \left(1 - \frac{y}{1 + x^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The former is the default when the PPLANE applet starts up. The latter was introduced in a paper by Lengyel & Epstein from 1991 related to their study of the chlorine dioxide-iodine-malonic acid (ClO<sub>2</sub>-I<sub>2</sub>-MA) reaction.

2.  $\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 3 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$ .
3.  $\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$ .

■

## Classifying equilibrium solutions for $\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{Ax}$

Sticking to the linear case, let us assume, for the moment, that  $\det(\mathbf{A}) \neq 0$ . We have an equilibrium point  $\mathbf{x}$  when the rates of change  $dx_1/dt, \dots, dx_n/dt$  are simultaneously zero—that is, whenever  $\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{0}$ . When  $\det(\mathbf{A}) = 0$  there are infinitely many equilibrium points, but as we are assuming  $\det(\mathbf{A}) \neq 0$ ,  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  is the only one. We wish, now, to classify this equilibrium point. Our

discussion will focus on systems in which the matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  is 2-by-2, but the ideas extend to higher dimensions. We will look at two important cases now, and return to cover other cases later.

**Example 2:**  $\mathbf{A}$  has real eigenvalues of opposite sign

$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 3 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$ . Plot the direction field and use the general solution to explain what it shows. The origin is classified as a **saddle point**.

■

**Example 3:**  $\mathbf{A}$  has real, distinct eigenvalues of same (positive) sign

$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$ . Plot the direction field and use the general solution to explain what it shows. The origin is classified as an **unstable node**.

■

**Example 4:**  $\mathbf{A}$  has real, distinct eigenvalues of same (negative) sign

$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -5 & 2 \\ -1 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$ . Plot the direction field and use the general solution to explain what it shows. The origin is classified as an **asymptotically stable node**.

■

Guess Monday that perhaps sols. of

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1' \\ \vdots \\ x_n' \end{bmatrix} = A \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}$$

might be exponential form:

$$\vec{x}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1(t) \\ \vdots \\ x_n(t) \end{bmatrix} = e^{\lambda t} \vec{v}$$

$\uparrow$   
 Const vector

If such a guess works, then

$$\text{LHS: } \frac{d}{dt} \vec{x}(t) \stackrel{\text{our guess}}{=} \frac{d}{dt} \left( e^{\lambda t} \vec{v} \right) = \lambda e^{\lambda t} \cdot \vec{v} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \text{constant-mult.} \\ \text{rule of differentiation} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{RHS: } A \vec{x}(t) \stackrel{\text{insert guess}}{=} A \cdot \left( e^{\lambda t} \vec{v} \right) = e^{\lambda t} A \vec{v}$$

So, for our guess to solve  $\vec{x}' = A \vec{x}$ , we require

$$\lambda e^{\lambda t} \vec{v} = e^{\lambda t} A \vec{v}$$

or, dividing by  $e^{\lambda t}$ , get

$$\lambda \vec{v} = A \vec{v}$$

So this yields a nontrivial result precisely when  $(\lambda, \vec{v})$  form an eigenpair of  $A$ .

Ex.  $\dot{\vec{x}}' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 3 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \vec{x}$ , subj. to  $\vec{x}(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

(Note: Same as problem

$$x_1' = x_1 + x_2, \quad x_1(0) = 4$$

$$x_2' = 3x_1 - x_2, \quad x_2(0) = 0$$

First, find e-vals of  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 3 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Solve

$$0 = \det(A - \lambda I) = \begin{vmatrix} 1-\lambda & 1 \\ 3 & -1-\lambda \end{vmatrix} = (1-\lambda)(-1-\lambda) - 3$$

$$= \lambda^2 - 1 - 3 = \lambda^2 - 4 = (\lambda - 2)(\lambda + 2)$$

$\Rightarrow$  e-vals  $\lambda = -2, 2$ .

Corresp. to  $\lambda = -2$ :  $\text{Null}(A - (-2)I)$  contains e-vectors

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

free col.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & | & 0 \\ 3 & 1 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow 3v_1 + v_2 = 0$$

$$v_1 = -\frac{1}{3}v_2$$

e-vectors corresp. to  $\lambda = -2$  look like

$$\vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1/3v_2 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{3}v_2 \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

representative (basis)  
for e-vectors in  $E_{-2}$ .

For  $\lambda = 2$

$$(A - 2I) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 3 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow -v_1 + v_2 = 0$$

Corresp. e-vectors

$$\vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} v_2 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix} = v_2 \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{\text{basis vector for } E_2}.$$

Obtained e-pairs:

$$\begin{array}{c} \lambda \\ \hline -2 \\ 2 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{basis e-vector} \\ \hline \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{array}$$

and our earlier derivation says

$$e^{-2t} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -e^{-2t} \\ 3e^{-2t} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{solves } \vec{x}' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 3 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \vec{x}$$

and so does

$$e^{2t} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{2t} \\ e^{2t} \end{bmatrix}$$

However, when  $t = 0$  is plugged in to either one

$$t = 0 : \begin{bmatrix} -e^{-2(0)} \\ 3e^{-2(0)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{Not} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$t=0: \begin{bmatrix} e^{2(0)} \\ e^{2(0)} \\ e^{2(0)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{Not} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

So neither soln on its own satisfies the IC.

What does (while still solving  $\dot{\vec{x}}' = A\vec{x}$ ) is an appropriately-chosen linear combination

$$\dot{\vec{x}}(t) = c_1 e^{-2t} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} + c_2 e^{2t} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

must choose  $c_1, c_2$

Choose using the IC: Need

$$\begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \dot{\vec{x}}(0) = c_1 \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} + c_2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Use GE to get  $c_1, c_2$ :

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cc|c} -1 & 1 & 4 \\ 3 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{RREF}} \left[ \begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\text{So } c_1 = -1, c_2 = 3$$

and the soln. is

$$\vec{x}(t) = -1 e^{-2t} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} + 3 e^{2t} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} e^{-2t} + 3e^{2t} \\ -3e^{-2t} + 3e^{2t} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Ex.  $\vec{x}' = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \vec{x} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} x'_1 = 2x_1 + x_2 \\ x'_2 = x_1 + 2x_2 \end{cases}$

Find e-pairs :

$$\frac{\lambda}{3}$$

basis e-vectors

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$1$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

General soln. is any linear comb. of

$$e^{3t} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad e^t \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

so

$$c_1 e^{3t} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + c_2 e^t \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \text{as far as we} \\ \text{can go w/out} \\ I C \end{pmatrix}$$