Several facts about questitutive vers.  
1. If X, Y are questiver and X has a mean 
$$\mu_X$$
, Y has a  
mean  $\mu_X$ , then their sum X+Y has mean  $\mu_X + \mu_Y$ .  
Their difference X-Y has mean  $\mu_X - \mu_Y$ .  
EX.) If you're a golfer and average 91 strokes on course  
A and 83 strokes on course B. If you play  
lette courses on one day, and take  
 $X = your score on course A$   
 $Y = " " " B$   
then  
average sum X+Y will be  $\mu_X + \mu_Y = 91+83 = 174$   
" difference X-Y " "  $\mu_X - \mu_Y = 91-83 = 8$ .  
2. If X,Y are integrated and X has a S.d.  $T_X$ , Y has a standard  
deviation  $\sigma_Y$ , then both  
 $X+Y$  have standard deviction  $\sqrt{\sigma_X^2 + \sigma_Y^2}$ .  
 $T_X$ 

Exil Seg larea is a body whose scares have  
mean 
$$\mu = 161$$
  
Sd.  $\sigma = 14$   
Loura boots two genes closely her surres  
 $X_1 = scare in 157$   
 $X_2 = v - 2v^2$   
Kenu  
 $S = X_1 + X_2$  has mean  $161 + 161 = 322$   
 $11 \quad Sd. \quad \sqrt{14^2 + 14^2} = \sqrt{2}(44)^2 = 14\sqrt{2}$   
If she bould 3 genes, then her summed/total scare  
 $X_1 + X_2 + X_3$  has mean  $= 3\mu = 3(161)$   
 $Sd. = \sqrt{14^2 + 14^2} = 14\sqrt{3}$ .  
Corollery to 2:  
 $Tf X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  is an i.i.d. sample from a population  
with mean  $\mu_1$ , s.d.  $\sigma_1$  then the  
a) mean for the sum:  $n\mu_1$ , Sd. for sum:  $\sigma\sqrt{n}$   
b) mean for  $\frac{1}{n}(X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n)$  (i.e., the mean for  
the sample mean  $\overline{X}$ )  
 $\mu_{\overline{X}} = \mu$  (sample means are unbiased oftimators  
and the Sd.  $d\overline{X}$ 

3,

Ex.]  
If Laure bould 3 games, expect her average of the three  

$$\overline{X} = \frac{1}{3} (X_1 + X_2 + X_3)$$
  
to have a distribution with mean 161 and s.d.  $\frac{14}{13}$   
IF she bould 20 games, then her average  
 $\overline{X} = \frac{1}{20} (X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_{20})$   
will have mean = 161 and s.d.  $\frac{14}{120}$ .

Central himit Theorem:  
(1) The sum of X, ..., Xn (i.i.d. sample from quantifictive population)  
has an approximate normal distribution for large anough n  
(and given what we learned above, that normal dist. will  
have mean npm and s.d. 
$$T_{X} Tn$$
).  
2) The average  $\frac{1}{2} (X_{1} + \dots + X_{n})$  will likewise be approximately  
normal for large enough n (with mean  $p_{X}$ ,  $sd. = \frac{T_{X}}{Tn}$ ).

```
Stat 145, Mon 18-Oct-2021 -- Mon 18-Oct-2021
Biostatistics
Spring 2021
_____
Monday, October 18th 2021
-----
Wk 8, Mo
Topic:: Central Limit Theorem
Read:: Lock5 5.2
Variables can
 - have an association, or
 - not have an association.
   We also talk about independent variables, which is roughly
   the same as
  Examples:
    1. If we draw twice from a bag and take
        X = 1st outcome
        Y = 2nd outcome
      then X and Y are
       i) independent if sampling "with replacement"
          call this an i.i.d. random sample of size 2
      ii) approximately independent if the composition of the bag is
          little changed after the first draw
   2. If we draw n times from a bag and take
        X_1 = 1st outcome
        X_2 = 2nd outcome
            .
            .
        X_n = nth outcome
      the X_i are
       i) independent if sampling "with replacement"
```

call this an i.i.d. random sample of size n

ii) approximately independent if the composition of the bag is little changed after by the draws

Rule of thumb: Size a of sample is < 10% of size of bag's contents

A random variable X is one that is numeric for each case

- sex: F/M we think of as categorical (binary)
- X(case) = 0 if case=female, 1 if case=male is a random variable (turns outcomes into numbers)

Some facts about independent normal random variables

- If X and Y are independent normal random variables, with

X ~ Norm(mu\_1, sigma\_1) ~ means "has a normal dist. with mean  $\mu_{i}$ , Sd  $\sigma_{i}$ " Y ~ Norm(mu\_2, sigma\_2)

then X+Y (their sum) is ~ Norm(mu\_1 + mu\_2, sqrt(sigma\_1^2 + sigma\_2^2)

then 🐙 (their difference) is ~ Norm(mu\_1-mu\_2, sqrt(sigma\_1^2 + sigma\_2^2))

Ex.: Suppose Ray and Joan are bowlers. Their scores have normal dists  $R \sim Norm(142, 17)$  $J \sim Norm(138, 22)$   $R + J \sim Norm(280, 27.803)$ 

How likely is it for them, in one game, to have a combined score > 350?

Answer comes from 1- phorm (350, 280, 27.803)

 If we draw an i.i.d. random sample of size n, each X\_i ~ Norm(mu, sigma), then the

 $sum = X_1 + ... + X_n$  is Norm(n mu, sigma sqrt(n)) avg =  $(X_1 + ... + X_n) / n$  is Norm(mu, sigma / sqrt(n))

Central Limit Theorem

Suppose a random sample of size n is drawn from the population either

- with replacement (so it is i.i.d.), or
- with n smaller than 10% of the full population.
- If the variable of interest is quantitative and n is large enough, then the sum  $X_1 + \ldots + X_n$  is approximately normal

the mean  $(X_1 + \ldots + X_n)/n$  is approximately normal

If the variable of interest is binary categorical and n is large enough, then the sample proportion has approximately a normal distribution. Explorations using apps at
https://onlinestatbook.com/stat\_sim/sampling\_dist/index.html
https://shiny.calvin.edu:3838/scofield/samplingDists/
https://shiny.calvin.edu:3838/scofield/cltProportions/

## **Central Limit Theorem**

In summary, here is the take-away from the **Central Limit Theorem**.

Suppose you have a random sample of size *n* that is either

- i.i.d., or
- an SRS, with the sample size *n* being no more than 10% of the size of the population.

In the case that

- 1. the variable under consideration is quantitative, having population mean  $\mu$  and standard deviation  $\sigma$ , then the sampling distribution for the sample mean  $\overline{X}$  is approximately Norm $(\mu, \sigma / \sqrt{n})$  for *n* large enough.
- 2. the variable under consideration is binary categorical, having population proportion p, then the sampling distribution for the sample proportion  $\hat{p}$  is approximately Norm $(p, \sqrt{p(1-p)/n})$  for n large enough.

Since

- null distributions
- randomization distributions
- bootstrap distributions

are all specialized versions of sampling distributions, then so long as the sample statistic in question is the sample's *mean*  $\overline{X}$  or the sample *proportion*  $\widehat{p}$ , we can expect the CLT to apply to these as well.