Two-Proportion inference

Thomas Scofield

October 26, 2021

First, a fact: Related & CLT from (and other facts day)

JTX TX

Theorem: Suppose X and Y are independent variables, and both are normally distributed, with $X \sim \text{Norm}(\mu_X, \sigma_X)$ and $Y \sim \text{Norm}(\mu_Y, \sigma_Y)$. Then their difference X - Y also has a normal distribution, with $(X - Y) \sim \text{Norm}(\mu_X - \mu_Y, \sqrt{\sigma_X^2 + \sigma_Y^2})$.

Two-Proportion context

Imagine you have two groups/populations in mind, and you take *independent* samples, one of size n_1 from Group 1, and one of size n_2 from Group 2. The variable you measure is binary categorical (sex, Christian or not?, have a certain gene or not?). The proportions of *successes* are

- p_1, p_2 , in the two populations
- \hat{p}_1, \hat{p}_2 , in the two samples

Note that

- \hat{p}_1, \hat{p}_2 should be independent, since the samples are.
- If the rules-of-thumb

$$n_1 p_1 \ge 10$$
 and $n_1 (1-p_1) \ge 10$

are met, then

$$p_1 \sim \operatorname{Norm}\left(p_1, \sqrt{\frac{p_1(1-p_1)}{n_1}}\right).$$
 From Sections 6.1-6.3

• Likewise, if

$$n_2 p_2 \ge 10$$
 and $n_2(1-p_2) \ge 10$

then

$$\hat{p}_2 \sim \operatorname{Norm}\left(p_2, \sqrt{\frac{p_2(1-p_2)}{n_2}}\right)$$

Under these conditions, the theorem tells us

6 A

$$\widehat{p}_{1} - \widehat{p}_{2} \sim \text{Norm}\left(p_{1} - p_{2}, \sqrt{\frac{p_{1}(1 - p_{1})}{n_{1}} + \frac{p_{2}(1 - p_{2})}{n_{2}}}\right)$$

This is a statement about the sampling distribution for $\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2$ —that (under conditions) it is approximately normal. Thus, the *spread* of that sampling distribution is rightly called the **standard error** of $\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2$:

G ? Confidence Intervals for $p_1 - p_2$

It's going to be the usual thing:

$$p_2$$
 point estimate) $\pm (z^*)(\operatorname{SE}_{\widehat{p}_1 - \widehat{p}_2})$

or, adapting to our situation (and the fact that we do not know the values of p_1, p_2):

$$(\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2) \pm (z^*) \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_1(1 - \hat{p}_1)}{n_1} + \frac{\hat{p}_2(1 - \hat{p}_2)}{n_2}}.$$

Examples:

Vou try a 98% o CI. 1. One True Love (see Example 6.19). Here (summarized data)

$$\widehat{p}_f = \frac{363}{1412} \doteq 0.257$$
 and $\widehat{p}_m = \frac{372}{1213} \doteq 0.307.$

2. Scolding Crows (see Data 6.3). Here (summarized data)

$$\widehat{p}_1 = \frac{158}{444} \doteq 0.356 \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{p}_2 = \frac{109}{922} \doteq 0.118.$$

- Group 1 represents the "taggers".
- 3. KidsFeet (available when Mosaic package is loaded). Here, we have raw data on variables biggerfoot and domhand.

Details
1.
$$\hat{p}_{1} - \hat{p}_{2} = 0.257 - 0.307 = -0.05$$

 $SE_{\hat{p}_{1} - \hat{p}_{2}} = \sqrt{\frac{(0.257)(1 - 0.257)}{1412} + \frac{(0.307)(0.693)}{12(3)}} = 0.01762$
approx.
level of confidence - surg 95% $\implies 2^{*} = 1.96$.
Then our 95% CI
 $(-0.05) + (1.96)(0.01762)$ or $(-0.085, -0.615)$

Carrying out the Scolding Crows example, we have

```
• point estimate
pointEst <- 158/444 - 109/922
pointEst
## [1] 0.2376346
   • standard error
se = sqrt(158/444*(1 - 158/444)/444 + 109/922*(1-109/922)/922)
se
## [1] 0.02508647
  • z*-value
zstar <- qnorm(.99)</pre>
zstar
## [1] 2.326348
And the 98% CI is
pointEst + c(-1,1)*zstar*se
## [1] 0.1792747 0.2959944
If we use prop.test() as a one-stop-shopping method to solve (saving us from the individual calculations)
prop.test(c(158,109), c(444,922), conf.level=.98)
```

##
2-sample test for equality of proportions with continuity correction
##
data: c out of c158 out of 444109 out of 922
X-squared = 106.11, df = 1, p-value < 2.2e-16
alternative hypothesis: two.sided
98 percent confidence interval:
0.1776063 0.2976629
sample estimates:
prop 1 prop 2
0.3558559 0.1182213</pre>